

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

KENNETH COLE,
BRIGITTE BROWN,

Plaintiffs,

V.

DELAWARE TECHNICAL AND
COMMUNITY COLLEGE,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 05-270 (GMS)

**DELAWARE TECHNICAL AND COMMUNITY COLLEGE'S PROPOSED
SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES**

Delaware Technical and Community College (the “College”) requests that the following Special Verdict questions be submitted to the Jury:

OFFICE RELOCATION

1. Do you find that Plaintiffs have met their burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the office relocation was an adverse employment action?

Yes _____

No

[If your answer is yes, answer question 2.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to 4.]

2. Do you find that the College had a valid non-discriminatory business reason for the office relocation?

Yes

No

[If your answer is yes, you may skip to question 4.]

[If your answer is no, answer question 3.]

3. Do you find that Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College intentionally discriminated against the Plaintiffs on the basis of race in relocating their office?

Yes _____

No _____

SPECIAL PROGRAMS DIRECTOR POSITION

4. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence he was qualified for the Special Programs Director position?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 5.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 10.]

5. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence he could work the hours required of the Special Programs Director position?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 6.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 10.]

6. Do you find the decision to promote or reclassify Paul Morris as the Special Programs Director was in any way related to Plaintiff Kenneth Cole?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 7.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 10.]

7. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence the College could have known he was interested in the Special Programs Director position?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 8.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 10.]

8. Do you find that the College had a valid non-discriminatory reason to assign the Special Programs Director position to Paul Morris?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 9.]

[If your answer is yes, answer question 10.]

9. Do you find the decision to promote or reclassify Morris to Special Programs Director was an act of intentional racial discrimination against Plaintiff Cole?

Yes _____

No _____

OPPOSITION TO OFFICE RELOCATION

10. Do you find that Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the complaints the office relocation was "unfair" were such that those complaints could be fairly said to amount to an implicit assertion of racial discrimination?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 11.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 12.]

11. Do you find that the Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence the College retaliated against him in deciding to promote or reclassify Morris to Special Programs Director?

Yes _____

No _____

CHANGING PLAINTIFF COLE'S WORK HOURS

12. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence that changing his work hours was an adverse employment action?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 13.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 15.]

13. Do you find that the College had a valid, non-retaliatory reason to request that Plaintiff Cole work within the business hours required of College employees?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, you may skip to question 15.]

[If your answer is no, answer question 14.]

14. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence the College retaliated against him by changing his working hours?

Yes _____

No _____

ASKING PLAINTIFF COLE TO DOCUMENT HIS ILLNESS

15. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence that requesting him to document his illness was adverse employment action?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 16.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 18.]

16. Do you find the College had a valid non-retaliatory business reason for requesting that Plaintiff Cole document his reduced working hours due to illness?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, you may skip to question 18.]

[If your answer is no, answer question 17.]

17. Do you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College retaliated against him by asking him to document his reduced working hours due to his illness?

Yes _____

No _____

REQUIRING THE PLAINTIFFS TO SECURE APPROVAL OF TRAVEL REQUESTS

18. Do you find that Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence they suffered an adverse employment action when the manner in which their travel requests were approved was changed?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 19.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 21.]

19. Do you find the College had a valid non-retaliatory reason to require travel requests to be approved in advance?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, you may skip to question 21.]

[If your answer is no, answer question 20.]

20. Do you find that Plaintiffs proved by a preponderance of the evidence the change to the way in which travel requests were approved was an act of retaliation against them?

Yes _____

No _____

ASSIGNMENT OF THE UBMS SUPERVISOR

21. Do you find that Plaintiff Brown proved by a preponderance of the evidence she was qualified for the Program Manager position?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, answer question 22.]

[If your answer is no, you may skip to question 24.]

22. Do you find the College had a valid, non-retaliatory reason to name Andrea Coleman the UBMS Program Manager?

Yes _____

No _____

[If your answer is yes, you may skip to question 24.]

[If your answer is no, answer question 23.]

23. Do you find that Plaintiff Brown proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College retaliated against her for protected activity by not promoting Brown to UBMS Program Manager?

Yes _____

No _____

DAMAGES

24. If you find that Plaintiff Brown proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College discriminated against her on the basis of race, how much in compensatory damages do you award to Plaintiff Brown?

\$_____

25. If you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College discriminated against him on the basis of race, how much in compensatory damages do you award to Plaintiff Cole?

\$_____

26. If you find that Plaintiff Brown proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College retaliated against her, how much in compensatory damages do you award to Plaintiff Brown (remember to deduct any amounts which Brown has earned or could have earned with reasonable diligence at the time of her resignation from the College)?

\$_____

27. If you find that Plaintiff Cole proved by a preponderance of the evidence that the College retaliated against him, how much in compensatory damages do you award to Plaintiff Cole?

\$_____

28. Do you find Plaintiff Kenneth Cole is entitled to punitive damages?

YES_____ NO_____

29. If your answer to the previous question was Yes, how much in punitive damages do you award to Plaintiff Cole?

\$_____

30. Do you find Plaintiff Brigitte Brown is entitled to punitive damages?

YES_____ NO_____

31. If your answer to the previous question was Yes, how much in punitive damages do you award to Plaintiff Brown?

\$_____